## ▲AULTMANCOLLEGE CORE ABILITY RUBRIC Think Critically

ΤΟΡΙΟ	UNSATISFACTORY (0)	INTRODUCTORY (1)	DEVELOPING (2)	PROFICIENT (3)
Explanation of	Statement of	Statement or description	Statement or description	Statement or description of
issues	issue/problem is absent.	of issue/problem is unclear or irrelevant.	of issue/problem leaves some terms undefined, ambiguities unexplored, boundaries undetermined, and/or backgrounds unknown.	issue/problem is clearly and comprehensively described, delivering all relevant information necessary for full understanding.
Evidence	Source information/evidence is absent.	Source information/evidence is not interpreted or evaluated. Expert viewpoints are taken as fact, without question.	Source information/evidence is interpreted and/or evaluated to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are subject to questioning.	Source information/evidence is interpreted/evaluated to develop a comprehensive analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are questioned thoroughly.
Conclusions and related outcomes	Conclusion is absent.	Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are oversimplified.	Conclusion is logically tied to the evidence related to the issue/problem; some related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.

Definition: The ability to explore issues critically and draw logical conclusions through comprehensive examination of evidence.

This rubric was created using the Association of American Colleges and Universities (AAC&U) Critical Thinking VALUE Rubric. Retrieved from <u>https://www.aacu.org/value-rubrics</u>

<u>Final 03.2020</u>